



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/752,962	12/29/2000	Terry June Linsey	LOT9-2000-0029 US1	9960
27085	7590 03/26/2004		EXAMINER	
IBM CORPORATION			VU, KIEU D	
LOTUS SOF ONE ROGE			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02142			2173	10
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

÷ +	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/752,962	LINSEY ET AL.				
· ·	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kieu D Vu	2173				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	s			
THE REPLY FILED 11 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avignal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to n places the applicatior	o a n in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See R 1.136(a) and the appropria	e MPEP ate extension			
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail FR 1.704(b).	originally set in the final Officing date of the final rejection	ce action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) Methey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simpli	ifying the			
(d) 🛛 they present additional claims without cancell	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: new claim 21 is presented while none of	finally rejected claims is canceled.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT pl	ace the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were ne	∍wly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> .		•				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	//				
10. Other:						
	CHDEDV	JOHN CABECA ISORY PATENT EXAMIN [®]	Γ°			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2104

Continue from 2a).

Regarding claims 1 and 19, the newly added limitation "responsive to user entry of a search of a selectable object in said collaboration space or in a what's new subset of said collaboration space, presenting search results in a main window" has not been earlier presented and would require further search and consideration.

Regarding claim 14, the newly added limitations "responsive to user selection" and "of an object in said collaboration space or of what's new subset of said object" have not been earlier presented and would require further search and consideration